



**Australian Government**  
**Department of the Environment**  
Australian Antarctic Division

# **Polar Guidelines and Polar Code: Relative design impacts**

**Presentation to IRSO 2015**

**Jonathan (Jono) Reeve**



# 1. History and Evolution

**Tourist vessels lost in the Antarctic, and the opening up of northern polar waters lead to:**

- Guidelines for Ships Operating in Polar Waters (Polar Guidelines)
    - Adopted by IMO Resolution A.1024(26) (Agenda item 10) on 2 December 2009
    - Voluntary guidelines
  - International Code for Ships Operating In Polar Waters (Polar Code)
    - Adopted by IMO Resolution MSC.385(94) on 21 November 2014
    - Relaxed requirements (lowest common denominator)
    - Enforced and complemented by MARPOL and SOLAS changes
    - For ships built from 01 Jan 2017 (keel laying)
-

## 2. The big difference = “Pollutants”

- Guidelines has: *“Double bottoms should not be used for the carriage of pollutants except where a double skin construction complying with paragraph 3.4.1 is provided, or where working liquids are carried in way of main machinery spaces in tanks not exceeding 20 m<sup>3</sup> individual volume”*, but what does that mean? Lloyds assessments note:
    - MARPOL Annex I does not include fuel overflow tanks in the definition of “fuel oil tanks”;
    - Cargo Fuel Overflow tanks may be considered as pollutants (big);
    - Other “Maybes” include : Helicopter Fuel Overflow, Black Water, Dirty Bilge, Sludge, Waste Oil, Slop, Oil sludge etc!
    - Reducing / dividing tanks to be <20m<sup>3</sup> to comply may create operational issues ( there isn’t unlimited volume!)
  - Our solution? – mandate some tank changes & some operational constraints.
-

### 3. Other items missed out of Polar Code

- Chapter 3 for intact stability when ship operating or riding up in ice;
  - Chapter 3 for damage stability resulting from hull penetration due to ice impact;
  - Chapter 4, clause 4.2 for public address systems and other safety items;
  - Chapter 6, clause 6.2 for anchoring arrangements;
  - Chapter 6, clause 6.3 for towing arrangements;
  - Chapter 7, clause 7.2.2.3 for polar machinery operation;
  - Chapter 7, clause 7.2.3 for main propulsion component pollution;
  - Chapter 8, clause 8.2.2 for pollution prevention matters;
  - Chapter 9, clause 9.2 for electrical installation precautions; and
  - Chapter 10, clause 10.3.1 for Fire-extinguishing systems.
  - (Chapter 11, clause 11.2 for detail in personal and group Polar Kits etc)
-

## 6. Besides design...

I haven't addressed the range of other issues to be addressed under the Polar code including:

- Fundamental drivers: Expected Ice conditions, Polar Service Temperature, Maximum expected time to rescue.
  - Polar Waters Operations Manual (PWOM), and associated requirements for planning, specific procedures, special measures, etc
  - Safety Equipment
  - Manning and training
-

# Other related issues

The Polar guidelines and code are aimed at vessel safety & environmental protection – not efficiency/efficacy of operations.

- Icebreaker (+)
    - Allows customised solution matched to your operations. E.g. putting strengthening where needed in way of “area factors” covering at-risk areas (customised areas and factors), and “pragmatic fatigue design” such as tonnage allowance for extended ship life.
    - We had Lloyds run a very useful workshop and invited tenderer to attend, with Lloyds writing output which provides clarity for all concerned. The associated discussions were very useful.
  - Winterisation (D)
    - Allows customised capabilities to support your operations.
    - We had Lloyds run a very useful workshop and invited tenderer to attend, with Lloyds providing important understanding of process.
-

Multi purpose ship design teaser....

---

